## For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JONATHAN FLORES-AYAR,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cr-00122-EMC-1

## ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Docket No. 106

Defendant Jonathan Flores-Ayar has filed a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Docket No. 106. In his motion, Mr. Flores-Ayar contends that his conviction of conspiracy to commit Hobbs Act robbery does not qualify as a crime of violence under either the force clause or the residual clause of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (possession and brandishing of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence). *Id.* at i-ii.

Pursuant to the Federal Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings, the Court has conducted a preliminary review of the motion. See Fed. R. Governing § 2255 Proceedings 4 (providing that "[t]he judge who receives the motion must promptly examine it"). Based on this review, the Court cannot say that it "plainly appears from the motion . . . that the moving party is not entitled to relief." Id. Accordingly, the Court hereby orders the United States to respond to the motion per the schedule below. See id. (providing that, "[i]f the motion is not dismissed, the judgment must order the United States attorney to file an answer, motion, or other response within a fixed time, or to take other action the judge may order").

- 1. The United States shall file a response to Mr. Flores-Ayar's motion within sixty (60) days of the date of this order.
  - 2. If the United States files an answer, it must conform to Rule 5 of the Federal Rules

## Case 3:15-cr-00122-EMC Document 116 Filed 06/27/16 Page 2 of 2

Governing § 2255 Proceedings. If the United States files an answer, Mr. Flores-Ayar may submit a reply within ninety (90) days of the date of this order.

3. If the United States responds with, *e.g.*, a motion to dismiss or any other motion for relief, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss a mutually agreeable briefing schedule.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 27, 2016

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge